

# CONSENSUAL NON-MONO GAMY FACT SHEET

Amy C. Moors, Ph.D., Ashley Ramos, B.A., and Heath A. Schechinger, Ph.D.

## KEY TERMS

- > **Polyamory** refers to relationships where people, whether singly or while partnered, have multiple romantic and/or sexual partners. People in these relationships sometimes have group relationships involving three or more persons, or they may have several concurrent independent relationships.
- > **Open relationship** refers to relationships where people are romantically exclusive to one partner while having other sexual partners. People in these relationships sometimes engage in sex as a couple (e.g., threesomes, group) or independent sexual relationships.
- > **Swinging** refers to relationships where people are romantically exclusive to one partner and mutually seek out other sexual relationships together. People in these relationships sometimes engage in sex as a couple (e.g., group sex) or swap partners with another couple.

Conley et al., 2017; Moors et al., 2017; Sheff, 2015

Creating clear definitions regarding CNM relationships is challenging; these are broad definitions and not mutually exclusive. Not all relationships are practiced in the same

## Consensual non-monogamy in the U.S. and Canada



About 1 out of 5 people  
have engaged in CNM at some point  
during their lifetime

**4-5%**  
of people in a  
relationship are  
currently part of a  
CNM relationship

(Fairbrother et al., 2018; Hauptert et al., 2017)

**12%**  
of people  
reported that  
CNM is their  
ideal relationship

A broad range of people engage in CNM — people from diverse race-ethnic backgrounds, income and education levels, geographic regions, religions, and political affiliations. Lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals are more likely to engage in CNM than heterosexual individuals. Engaging in CNM is also common for asexual/aromantic people.

## Q & A SECTION

### What is Consensual Non-monogamy?

Relationships can be thought of as agreements that partners decide upon. Some people may agree to be romantically and sexually exclusive to one partner (commonly referred to as monogamy), while some people may agree on varying levels of romantic or sexual openness with more than one partner. Consensual non-monogamy (CNM) is an umbrella term for relationships in which all partners give explicit consent to engage in romantic, intimate, and/or sexual relationships with multiple people. These are consensual relationships, not to be confused with infidelity. CNM can take a variety of forms. A relationship agreement might involve partners engaging in sexual, but not romantic relationships. Another type of agreement might include several people (three or more) in a relationship who remain romantically and sexually exclusive. Common forms of CNM (or ethical non-monogamy) include polyamory, open relationships, and swinging relationships.

### What are the stigmatizing experiences of people engaged in consensual non-monogamy?

Societal views toward CNM tend to be negative and stigmatizing. For instance, these relationships are perceived as low in relationship quality, immoral, and harmful to children (Moors et al., 2013; Rodrigues, Fasoli, Huic, & Lopes, 2018). Likewise, people engaged in CNM report a range of stigmatizing experiences based on their relationship style, such as rejection from family members and criticisms about raising children (sometimes including loss of child custody; Sheff, 2011). Given fears concerning disclosure, many people engaged in CNM feel pressure to hide their relationship style from close friends and family (Kimberly & Hans, 2017; Sheff, 2011).

People who practice CNM also face stigma from healthcare professionals. In a therapeutic setting, 1 in 5 people engaged in CNM indicated that their therapist lacked basic knowledge about CNM and nearly 1 in 10 reported that their therapist pushed them to terminate their CNM relationship. These harmful therapy practices were linked with clients stopping therapy prematurely (Schechinger, Sakaluk, & Moors, 2018). Similarly, in medical settings, people engaged in CNM commonly experience judgmental interactions with healthcare staff (Vaughan, Jones, Taylor, & Roush, 2019).

### What are the relationship and health outcomes of people engaged in consensual non-monogamy?

Although CNM relationships are stereotyped as dysfunctional, empirical studies suggest otherwise. People engaged in CNM and monogamy report equal levels of relationship satisfaction, trust, commitment, and psychological health (Conley, Matsick, Moors, & Ziegler, 2017; Rubel & Bogaert, 2015). People engaged in CNM tend to experience low levels of jealousy and mild forms of relationship insecurity (Conley et al., 2017; Ritchie & Barker, 2006). Further, people engaged in CNM experience unique relationship benefits, such as getting a wider variety of needs met and promoting personal growth (Moors, Matsick, & Schechinger, 2017). Considering sexual health, people engaged in CNM report high levels of safer sex strategies (e.g., barrier method usage, STI testing; Conley, Moors, Ziegler, & Karathanasis, 2012; Lehmillier, 2015). Overall, the available research suggests that CNM and monogamy yield similarly positive outcomes and can be equally viable relationship options.

# RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RESEARCHERS

- > **Provide options for relationship status questions:** Despite how common CNM is (Hauptert et al., 2017), these relationships are often rendered invisible in research because relationship status questions typically do not include diverse options. Application considerations: Provide a variety of options for relationship status questions with brief definitions (e.g., single, casual dating, monogamy, types of CNM) as well as an open-ended option.
- > **Examine assumptions of theories of intimacy and adapt measures:** Popular measures of intimacy often include items that do not apply to people engaged in CNM. For instance, a common way to assess relationship quality includes items that suggest low investment if a person reports that they are willing to date someone else (which applies to people engaged in monogamy, not CNM). Application considerations: Adapt measures to include people engaged in CNM (or develop new measures), including the ability to answer questions about multiple partners and provide feedback options on item wording to identify potential issues (Moors, 2019).
- > **Research varied aspects of CNM:** Psychological research on CNM is an emerging area, yet there is still much to be understood about motivation, agreements, and interpersonal dynamics. Application considerations: Employ multiple methods (e.g., interview, survey) and approaches (e.g., testing extant theory, using grounded theory) when designing studies. Refrain from always centering questions on comparisons between people engaged in monogamy and CNM, as monogamy is not necessarily a “control group” to which CNM should be compared (Moors et al., 2017).



## REFERENCES

- Conley, T. D., Matsick, J., Moors, A. C., & Ziegler, A. (2017). The Investigation of consensually non-monogamous relationships: Theories, methods and new directions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 12*(2), 205-232.
- Conley, T. D., Moors, A. C., Ziegler, A., & Karathanasis, C. (2012). Unfaithful individuals are less likely to practice safer sex than openly nonmonogamous individuals. *Journal of Sexual Medicine, 9*(6), 1559-1565.
- Kimberly, C., & Hans, J. D. (2017). From fantasy to reality: A grounded theory of experiences in the swinging lifestyle. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 46*(3), 789-799.
- Hauptert, M. L., Gesselman, A. N., Moors, A. C., Fisher, H. E., & Garcia, J. R. (2017). Prevalence of experiences with consensual nonmonogamous relationships: Findings from two national samples of single americans. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 43*(5), 424-440.
- Fairbrother, N., Hart, T. A., & Fairbrother, M. (2019). Open relationship prevalence, characteristics, and correlates in a nationally representative sample of canadian adults. *Journal of Sex Research, 56*(6), 695-704.
- Lehmiller, J. J. (2015). A comparison of sexual health history and practices among monogamous and consensually nonmonogamous sexual partners. *Journal of Sexual Medicine, 12*(10), 2022-2028.
- Moors, A. C. (2019). Moving past the rose-tinted lens of monogamy: Onward with critical self-examination and (sexually) healthy science. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 48*(1), 57-61.
- Moors, A. C., Matsick, J., & Schechinger, H. (2017). Unique and shared relationship benefits of consensually non-monogamous and monogamous relationships: A review and insights for moving forward. *European Psychologist, 22*(1), 55-71.
- Moors, A. C., Matsick, J. L., Ziegler, A., Rubin, J., & Conley, T. D. (2013). Stigma toward individuals engaged in consensual non-monogamy: Robust and worthy of additional research. *Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy, 13*(1), 52-69.
- Ritchie, A., & Barker, M. (2006). “There aren’t words for what we do or how we feel so we have to make them up”: Constructing polyamorous languages in a culture of compulsory monogamy. *Sexualities, 9*(5), 584-601.
- Rodrigues, D., Fasoli, F., Huic, A., & Lopes, D. (2018). Which partners are more human? Monogamy matters more than sexual orientation for dehumanization in three European countries. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy, 15*(4), 504-515.
- Rubel, A. N., & Bogaert, A. F. (2015). Consensual nonmonogamy: Psychological well-being and relationship quality correlates. *Journal of Sex Research, 52*(9), 961-982.
- Schechinger, H., Sakaluk, J. K., & Moors, A. C. (2018). Harmful and helpful therapy practices with consensually non-monogamous clients: Toward an inclusive framework. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 86*(11), 879-891.
- Sheff, E. (2015). *Polyamorists Next Door: Inside Multiple-Partner Relationships and Families*. Lanham, Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Vaughan, M. D., Jones, P., Taylor, B. A., & Roush, J. (2019). Healthcare experiences and needs of consensually non-monogamous people: Results from a focus group study. *Journal of Sexual Medicine, 16*(1), 42-51.

# RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CLINICIANS

- > **Pursue and provide CNM educational opportunities:** One of the most helpful practices that therapists can engage in is seeking information about CNM—endorsed by more than 1 out of 3 clients engaged in CNM (Schechinger et al., 2018). Application considerations: Attend trainings, read about CNM in professional literature and, if a mental health organization, provide trainings for staff and host support groups for CNM clients.
- > **Acknowledge a CNM-affirming stance:** People engaged in CNM mention that they experience negative judgment (mentioned by 48%) and feel pathologized (mentioned by 38%) by their therapist (Schechinger et al., 2018). This includes reports that therapists suggest that CNM is unnatural, does not work, or stems from commitment issues. Application considerations: Reflect on potential personal biases, take a non-judgmental posture, and recognize how societal stigma may facilitate misattributing CNM as the cause or result of another problem.
- > **Create inclusive environments:** Signaling inclusivity, especially for people with marginalized identities, helps create a welcoming professional space. Application considerations: Include options for relationship structure on intake forms and use the term partner(s) until clients have clarified which terms they use. Explicitly convey support for CNM on professional website and therapist directory provider profiles (e.g., APA Psychologist Locator, Psychology Today’s Therapist Locator).

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** We thank the following researchers, clinicians, and community members for their insight and feedback: Lori Beth Bisbey, Ph.D.; Daniel Cardoso, Ph.D.; Derrrell Cox II, Ph.D.; Nina Dours; Elizabeth Duke, Psy.D.; Chrissy Holman, M.S.; James R. Fleckenstein, B.A.; Boyda Johnstone, Ph.D.; Olivia McLeod, B.A.; Charles Moser, Ph.D., M.D.; Kimberly M. Rhoten, J.D.; Elisabeth Sheff, Ph.D., CSE; Ellora Vilkin, B.A.; Bree Zimmerman, M.A.

**CITATION:** Moors, A. C., Ramos, A., & Schechinger, H. (in press). Bridging the science communication gap: The development of a fact sheet for clinicians and researchers about consensually non-monogamous relationships. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*.

APA  Division 44



COMMITTEE on  
CONSENSUAL  
NON-MONOGAMY  
APA DIVISION 44 • Ψ

WWW.DIV44CNM.ORG